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Why Discuss this Now?

- Public health and healthcare facilities have been working on this complicated issue

- Increasing push for transparency and disclosure

---

*The New York Times*

**Culture of Secrecy Shields Hospitals With Outbreaks of Drug-Resistant Infections**

The lack of transparency puts patients at risk, some say. Institutions say disclosure could scare some people away from seeking needed medical care.

April 2019
Increasing Demand for Transparency

HEALTH LAW, ETHICS, AND HUMAN RIGHTS

The Disclosure Dilemma — Large-Scale Adverse Events
Denise M. Dudzinski, Ph.D., Philip C. Hébert, M.D., Ph.D., Mary Beth Foglia, R.N., Ph.D., and Thomas H. Gallagher, M.D.

In 2003, the infectious- disease ward of a teaching hospital received a shipment of prostate-biopsy equipment that had been compromised by incorrect handling. The risk of infectious disease transmission was considered very low, hospital officials maintained that hundreds of patients had been exposed to harmful pathogens. One central question in this dilemma: should they disclose to every patient (many of whom might have harmed) that a large-scale adverse event? Or should they disclose only to the event if the risk of harm was very low? The disclosure would appear to patients who would ultimately unlikely have been harmed by the event.

CMAJ

Disclosing errors that affect multiple patients

Roger Chafe PhD, Wendy Levinson MD, Terrence Sullivan PhD

 Organizations in a number of countries have developed guidelines to help health care providers and institutions disclose medical errors to patients. The primary focus of such guidelines is the disclosure of errors that affect individual patients. Yet many adverse events involve hundreds, if not thousands, of patients. This is particularly the case when errors are related to equipment failure.

Key points

- Guidelines for disclosure of medical errors in Canada and other countries do not provide adequate recommendations for addressing errors that affect multiple patients.
- Health care organizations face institutional barriers to the timely and complete disclosure of such errors.
Patient Notification vs. Disclosure

Patient notification
informing patients and providers who are or may be affected

Disclosure
informing the public more broadly
Patient Notification vs. Disclosure

**Patient notification**
informing patients and providers who are or may be affected

**Disclosure**
informing the public more broadly
Levels of Patient Notification and Disclosure

- To involved patients
- To other providers at the facility
- To other patients (parents) on the Unit
- To in/outpatients who may be exposed
- To the general public (via media)

Likelihood of public disclosure

Courtesy of Los Angeles County Public Health
Patient Notification Challenges

- Limited available information
- No standards for patient notification
- Practices vary widely
- Available resources to conduct a patient notification may be limited
- Priorities and preferences of patients, healthcare providers, facilities, and public health may vary
Decisions around Patient Notification Influenced by Different Perspectives

PATIENTS

Desire for knowledge if they have been harmed or if they are at risk
Decisions around Patient Notification Influenced by Different Perspectives

HEALTHCARE PROVIDER OR HEALTHCARE FACILITY

Not comfortable disclosing or fear reputational damage
Decisions around Patient Notification Influenced by Different Perspectives

PUBLIC HEALTH

Importance of disease control and containment
Decisions around Patient Notification Influenced by Different Perspectives

MEDIA

Public has a right to know and want to tell a story
Developing a broader approach to management of infection control breaches in health care settings

Priti R. Patel, MD, MPH, Arjun Srinivasan, MD, and Joseph F. Perz, DrPH, MA
Atlanta, Georgia

Our experiences with health departments and health care facilities suggest that questions surrounding instrument reprocessing errors and other infection control breaches are becoming increasingly common. We describe an approach to management of these incidents that focuses on risk of bloodborne pathogen transmission and the role of public health and other stakeholders to inform patient notification and testing decisions. (Am J Infect Control 2008;36:685-90.)
Figure 1. Approach to an infection control breach with potential risk of bloodborne pathogen transmission

1) Identification of infection control breach
   - Identify the nature of the breach, type of procedure, and biologic substances involved
   - Review the recommended reprocessing methods or aseptic technique
   - Institute corrective action as early as possible

2) Additional data gathering
   - Determine the time frame of the breach and number of patients who were exposed
   - Identify exposed patients with evidence of HBV, HCV, or HIV infections through medical records and/or public heath surveillance data
   - Conduct literature review and consult experts

4) Qualitative assessment of breach
   If possible, classify breach as Category A or B:
   - Category A involves a gross error or demonstrated high-risk practice
   - Category B involves a breach with lower likelihood of blood exposure

5) Decision regarding patient notification & testing
   If Category A, Patient notification & testing is warranted
   If Category B,
   Consider the following factors in the decision:
   - Potential risk of transmission
   - Public concern
   - Duty to warn vs. harm of notification

6) Communications & logistical issues
   - Develop communication materials
   - Consider post-exposure prophylaxis if appropriate
   - Determine who will conduct testing, obtain consent, and/or perform counseling, if appropriate
   - Determine if follow-up testing needed
   - Facilitate public inquiry and communication
   - Address media and legal issues
Category A Breaches

- Reuse of needles or syringes between patients
- Reuse of contaminated syringes to access multidose medication vials or intravenous fluid bags
- Reuse of fingerstick devices or glucometers between patients
Healthcare-Associated Hepatitis B and C Outbreaks Reported to CDC, 2008 - 2017

- 60 outbreaks
- 95% occurred in non-hospital settings
- **Hepatitis B**
  - 24 outbreaks
  - 179 patient infections
  - >10,935 persons notified for screening
  - 83% associated with assisted monitoring of blood glucose
- **Hepatitis C**
  - 37 outbreaks
  - >290 patient infections
  - >105,048 persons notified for screening
Category B Breaches

- Reprocessing of medical equipment, such as endoscopes, with incorrect disinfectant solutions or those performed with a shorter duration than recommended by the manufacturer

- Reprocessing and reuse of biopsy needles that were intended for single use
Limitations of Current Framework to Assessing Need for Patient Notification

- Limited to bloodborne pathogen transmission
- Relies on history of documented transmission
- Heterogeneity of errors in Category B
- No standard established for outbreak investigations
Three Guiding Ethical Principles to an Expanded Framework

- Transparency
- Beneficence
- Autonomy
Three Guiding Ethical Principles

Transparency
Three Guiding Ethical Principles

Beneficence
Three Guiding Ethical Principles

Autonomy
Triggers for Performing Patient Notification

1. Patients experienced harm

2. Patients require information to identify and/or mitigate a potential harm

3. Patients experience an alteration in care
1. Patients experienced harm

- Should be told how the harm or change to their healthcare status occurred

- “Harm”
  - Developing an infection
  - Becoming colonized with an emerging, highly AR pathogen
The children died of various causes between August 2008 and July 2009 during an outbreak of a flesh-eating fungal infection, mucormycosis, most likely spread by bed linens, towels or gowns, according to a medical journal. The disclosure this month caused new pain for the families of the children and raised troubling questions about how the infections came about, why doctors did not connect the cases until more than 10 months after the first death, and what obligation the hospital had to inform parents — and the community — of the outbreak.
2. Patients require information to identify and/or mitigate a potential harm

- Patients potentially affected by an outbreak or IC beach should be informed
  - Need to be aware of signs/symptoms of infection
Heater-cooler Device
Cardiopulmonary Bypass

http://www.fda.gov/medicaldevices/productsandmedicalprocedures/cardiovasculardevices/heater-coolerdevices/default.htm

Courtesy of Meghan Lyman, MD
CDC Advises Patient Notification

Distributed via the CDC Health Alert Network
October 13, 2016, 13:00 ET (1:00 PM ET)
CDCHAN-00397

Summary
The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) is advising hospitals to notify patients who underwent open-heart (open-chest) surgery involving a Stöckert 3T heater-cooler that the device was potentially contaminated, possibly putting patients at risk for a life threatening infection. New information indicates that these devices, manufactured by LivaNova PLC (formerly Sorin Group Deutschland GmbH), were likely contaminated with the rare bacteria

Q. How far back in time should hospitals go to notify patients?
A. Hospitals should consider notifying patients in writing if they were exposed to the Stöckert 3T devices during open-chest cardiac surgery at their institution since January 1, 2012. Hospitals that did not use the Stöckert 3T device during this entire time period should adjust the patient notification timeframe accordingly.

~ 600,000 patients
Cardiac Surgery and Fatal Bacterial Infections

To Your Health

More than half a million heart surgery patients at risk of deadly infection

By Lena H. Sun  October 13, 2018  Email the author
2. Patients require information to identify and/or mitigate a potential harm

- Patients potentially affected by an outbreak or IC beach should be informed
  - Need to have opportunity to be tested (e.g. bloodborne pathogens)
Over 3,000 Patients May Have Been Exposed To Hepatitis, HIV At A Surgery Center In New Jersey

Thousands of patients are being urged to get tested after the surgery center was found to have unsanitary conditions.

Caroline Kee
BuzzFeed News Reporter

Posted on December 26, 2018, at 1:55 p.m. ET
2. Patients require information to identify and/or mitigate a potential harm

- Patients potentially affected by an outbreak or IC beach should be informed
  - Need to know of any actions needed to protect others
2. Patients require information to identify and/or mitigate a potential harm

- Important for case-finding
2. Patients require information to identify and/or mitigate a potential harm

- Patients potentially affected by an outbreak or IC beach should be informed
  - Need to be aware of signs/symptoms of infection
  - Need to have opportunity to be tested (e.g. bloodborne pathogens)
  - Need to know of any actions needed to protect others

- Important for case-finding
3. Patients experience an alteration in care

- Inform patients of changes in their care:
3. Patients experience an alteration in care

- Inform patients of changes in their care:

Additional diagnostic tests
3. Patients experience an alteration in care

- Inform patients of changes in their care:
  - Additional diagnostic tests
  - Additional antibiotics
3. Patients experience an alteration in care

- Inform patients of changes in their care:
  
  - Additional diagnostic tests
  - Additional antibiotics
  - Additional infection control precautions
3. Patients experience an alteration in care

- May affect others

Restriction of group activities

Visitor restrictions
Triggers for Performing Patient Notification

1. Patients experienced harm

2. Patients require information to identify and/or mitigate a potential harm

3. Patients experience an alteration in care
Patient Notification Scenarios
Notes from the Field

Hepatitis C Transmission from Inappropriate Reuse of Saline Flush Syringes for Multiple Patients in an Acute Care General Hospital — Texas, 2015

Sandi Arnold¹; Sharon K. Melville, MD²; Bonnie Morehead, MPH²; Gilberto Vaughan, PhD³; Anne Moorman, MPH³; Matthew B. Crist, MD⁴

In October 2015, the Texas Department of State Health Services (DSHS) was notified that a hospital telemetry unit nurse had been reusing saline flush prefilled syringes in the intravenous (IV) lines of multiple patients, a risk factor for test at 6 months after the last potential exposure; exposure was defined as the last time a patient was on the telemetry unit while the nurse was working.⁵

Patients who did not have bloodborne pathogen testing or whose letter had been returned as undeliverable, and who had valid contact telephone information were telephoned individually by hospital staff members to provide notification, encourage testing, and request a current mailing address. Notification materials were re-sent to contacted patients; for those who could not be reached, additional address investigation was performed by DSHS using a search of state databases. As of

- Nurse reusing syringes for >1 patient x 6 months
- No infections known when breach discovered
- Hospital notified all potentially exposed patients and provided free BBP testing
- HCV transmission documented

Hepatitis C Transmission from Inappropriate Reuse of Saline Flush Syringes for Multiple Patients in an Acute Care General Hospital — Texas, 2015

Sandi Arnold1; Sharon K. Melville, MD2; Bonnie Morehead, MPH1; Gilbert Vaughan, PhD1; Anne Moreman, MPH1; Matthew B. Gray, MD1

In October 2015, the Texas Department of State Health Services (DSHS) was notified that a nurse had been reusing saline flush prefilled syringes in the intravenous (IV) lines of multiple patients, a risk factor for test at 6 months after the last potential exposure; exposure was defined as the last time a patient was on the telemetry unit while the nurse was working.⁶

Patients who did not have bloodborne pathogen testing or whose letter had been returned as undeliverable, and who had valid contact telephone information were telephoned individually and asked to schedule testing, and request a current mailing address. Notification letters were re-sent to contacted patients; for those who could not be reached, additional address investigation was performed by DSHS using a search of state databases. As of

- Nurse reusing syringes for >1 patient x 6 months
- No infections known when breach discovered
- Hospital notified all potentially exposed patients and provided free BBP testing
- HCV transmission documented
Outbreak in a Nursing Home

4 residents with acute respiratory illness in the same unit
Outbreak in a Nursing Home

4 residents with acute respiratory illness in the same unit

Contact and droplet precautions for infected residents
Outbreak in a Nursing Home

- 4 residents with acute respiratory illness in the same unit
- Contact and droplet precautions for infected residents
- Infected residents cohort
Outbreak in a Nursing Home

- All residents and healthcare personnel notified of:
  - Outbreak
  - Need to monitor for and report signs/symptoms of infection
- Unvaccinated residents/staff offered influenza vaccine
- Group activities suspended
- Letters sent to family members of outbreak and not to visit when ill
1. Patients experienced harm

2. Patients require information to identify and/or mitigate a potential harm

3. Patients experience an alteration in care

- All residents and healthcare personnel notified of:
  - Outbreak
  - Need to monitor for and report signs/symptoms of infection
  - Unvaccinated residents/staff offered influenza vaccine
  - Group activities suspended
  - Letters sent to family members of outbreak and not to visit when ill
NJ Doc Reused Catheters on Patients for Rectal Exams: AG

"It is appalling that a doctor would engage in such an unsanitary and dangerous practice," said NJ Attorney General Christopher P. Capolunghi.

NJ Department of Health Issues Public Health Recommendations to Patients of Physician with Revoked License

The New Jersey Department of Health (NJDOH) is encouraging patients of Central Jersey physician, Dr. Sanjiv Patankar, to consult with their primary health care provider if they have undergone procedures at his office because of potential infection control breaches. The State Board of Medical Examiners (BME) has revoked Dr. Patankar's medical license, after finding that he reused single-use rectal manometry catheters on multiple patients.

"While the Department believes that the risk of disease transmission from the rectal manometry procedure is low, we recommend patients who have had any procedures performed by Dr. Patankar discuss their risk and whether they should get tested for bloodborne pathogens with their health care provider," said Acting Health Commissioner Christopher R. Rinn.

At this time, NJDOH has not received any reports of disease associated with this doctor's practices. Based on information uncovered in the BME's investigation, the NJDOH cannot be certain that there were no additional infection control breaches at Dr. Patankar's practices.

Re-using single-use devices is an infection control breach and increases the risk of disease transmission. There is a concern about the possibility of disease transmission, specifically of bloodborne pathogens, such as human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), hepatitis B virus (HBV), and hepatitis C virus (HCV). Blood may be microscopic and not visible to the eye. Bloodborne pathogens can cause disease with few or no symptoms, so blood tests are the only way to know if bloodborne pathogens are present.
2. Patients require information to identify and/or mitigate a potential harm
Types of Patient Notifications

One-on-one discussions between providers and patients/family

Individual patient letters/phone calls
Types of Patient Notifications

- One-on-one discussions between providers and patients/family
- Individual patient letters/phone calls
- Posted signs
Types of Patient Notifications

- One-on-one discussions between providers and patients/family
- Individual patient letters/phone calls
- Posted signs
- Press release (HD or healthcare facility)
- Media
Concerns for Patient Notification

Patient anxiety
Concerns for Patient Notification

> 90% of patients would want facilities to tell them about any error in their care, even if the chance of harm was extremely low

Concerns for Patient Notification

Reputational damage
or loss of trust
Concerns for Patient Notification

- Majority of patients have an improved perception of the facility’s honesty and integrity
- No long term reductions in patients seeking care at such facilities

Concerns for Patient Notification

Extensive resources needed
Concerns for Patient Notification

Does not obviate the need to perform patient notification when one is warranted

Extensive resources needed
Patient Notification Additional Considerations

- Consider the perspective of patients

- Engagement of additional stakeholders
  - Healthcare personnel
  - Facility leadership
  - Public health
  - Regulatory authorities and accrediting agencies

- Other groups to notify
  - Public (disclosure)
  - Healthcare providers
  - Other facilities (transfers)
Seattle Children’s warns of potential infection risk

Originally published August 26, 2015 at 2:59 pm | Updated August 27, 2015 at 6:15 pm

Seattle Children’s CEO Jeff Sperring, third from left, at a press conference in Seattle, addresses sterilization concerns for equipment used at the hospital’s Bellevue campus that could affect as many as 12,000 patients. (Bettina Hansen / The Seattle Times)

More

Hospital staff are sending out warnings to patients and their families and asking the patients to come in for blood tests for diseases, including hepatitis B and C and HIV.

@KIRO7Seattle

Seattle Childrens Hospital asking 12,000 patients to get Hep B,C &HIV blood tests- more @ 4:58 kiro.tv/LiveNews

7:43 PM - 26 Aug 2015
On the Horizon...

- Council for Outbreak Response: HAIs and AR Pathogens (CORHA) Policy Workgroup
  - Patient notification implementation guide

- Addressing public disclosure

- Assess CDC’s patient notification toolkit
“Protection through Prevention”

- Strong IPC program
- Education of HCP
- Verify adherence to recommended practices
- Regular audits
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For more information, contact CDC
1-800-CDC-INFO (232-4636)

The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.
CDC’s Patient Notification Toolkit

https://www.cdc.gov/injectionsafety/pntoolkit/index.html

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Injection Safety</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CDC's Role</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC Statement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information for Providers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Information for Patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preventing Unsafe Injection Practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drug Diversion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection Prevention during Blood Glucose Monitoring and Insulin Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publications</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meetings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The One &amp; Only Campaign</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patient Notification Toolkit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Toolkit Contents

CDC > Injection Safety

Pages in this Report
1. Developing Documents for a Patient Notification
2. Planning Media and Communication Strategies
3. Establishing Communication Resources
4. Best Practices in Conducting Patient Notifications
5. Scope/Acknowledgement
6. Additional Resources

Patient Notification Toolkit

A Guide to Assist Health Departments and Healthcare Facilities with Conducting a Patient Notification Following Identification of an Infection Control Lapse or Disease Transmission

https://www.cdc.gov/injectionsafety/pntoolkit/index.html
Hepatitis C Transmission in California

- New, acute case of hepatitis C virus infection in a repeat blood donor
- Prolotherapy injection at a doctor’s office
- Poor injection practices
- Notification of 400 patients
- 6 additional patients with HCV detected

Notes from the Field

Investigation of Hepatitis C Virus Transmission Associated with Injection Therapy for Chronic Pain — California, 2015

Monique A. Foster, MD1; Cheri Grigg, DVM2; Jaclyn Hagon, MSN3; Paige A. Batson, MA3; Janice Kim, MD4; Mary Choi, MD2; Anne Moorman, MPH1; Charity Dean, MD3
Transvaginal Ultrasound Probes

- Several facilities found to not be properly reprocessing transvaginal ultrasound probes
- Consideration for bloodborne pathogen testing and testing for human papilloma virus (HPV)
- Patient notification was not pursued
Emerging Issue: Infections Risks Associated with Narcotics Tampering in Healthcare Settings

- Healthcare settings rely on routine use of powerful narcotics and sedatives, many delivered via injection/infusion
- Healthcare personnel are susceptible to substance abuse like everyone else but have unique access to drugs

---

Annals of Internal Medicine

Health Care–Associated Hepatitis C Virus Infections Attributed to Narcotic Diversion

Walter C. Hellinger, MD; Laura P. Bacalis, RN; Robyn S. Kay, MPH; Nicola D. Thompson, PhD, MS; Guo-Liang Xia, MD, MPH; Yullin Lin, MD; Yury E. Khudyakov, PhD; and Joseph F. Perz, DrPH

Background: Three cases of genetically related hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection that were unattributable to infection control breaches were identified at a health care facility.

Objective: To investigate HCV transmission from an HCV-infected health care worker to patients through drug diversion.

Design: Cluster and look-back investigations.

Setting: Acute care hospital and affiliated multispecialty clinic.

Patients: Inpatients and outpatients during the period of HCV

Limitation: Of the living patients at risk for HCV exposure, 12.3%